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**Accreditation Steering Committee Meeting Agenda**

***Co-Chairs:*** Danielle Fouquette, Daniel Berumen

***Members:*** Bridget Kominek, Carlos Ayon, Carolina Santillan, Dani Wilson, Henry Hua, Kim Vandervort, Martha Smith, Michael Mangan, Jeanette Rodriguez

***Student Representative:*** N/A

***Resource Member***: Jose Ramon Nunez

***Recorder:*** Bianca Gladen

**Absent**: Jose Ramon Nunez, Carlos Ayon, Henry Hua

**Location: Bldg. 2400 Rm. 112**

**HOUSEKEEPING**

1. Call to Order
2. Agenda
3. Approval of Previous Notes
   1. Committee approved previous meeting notes.
4. Public Comment
   1. None.
5. Announcements
   1. Mike Mangan will serve as faculty co-chair for one semester while Danielle is participating in a study abroad program. During this time, Mike will represent Accreditation on the Campus Collaboration Committee.

**OLD BUSINESS** (Discussion with Possible Action)

1. Integrated Planning Manual: Review section 3 (pages 34,35,43,44) for possible updates
   1. **ACTION**: The section will undergo an initial revision to identify areas that require updates or removal. The goal is to have a first draft ready by Fall 2025. The committee reviewed the section and noted several points that need further evaluation.
      1. Responsibility of All Parties pg.35
         1. Language regarding “should be public” needs to be reviewed.
      2. The Governance Structure pg.35
         1. language under “council”, who is council, PAC or district? PAC is already referred to in the “Standing Committee”.
         2. What is the difference between a “standing committee” and a “committee”? This needs to be reviewed.
      3. Membership pg.35
         1. Review and standardize the use of the term “Classified Professionals” when referring to staff. Ensure consistent language throughout the document when referencing faculty, staff, students, and managers.
         2. “Classified Appointments” needs to be reviewed and verified by Classified Senate and CSEA.
         3. Appointments – Double check with Dr. Olivo about manager/dean appointments.
      4. Recommendations pg.36
         1. “Recommendations from College Committees and/or their Subcommittees” needs to be reviewed. A flowchart could be helpful for this section.
         2. **ACTION**: “Recommendations from Faculty Senate Committees” will defer to Faculty Senate if this should be revised. Danielle will email Bridget about this.
      5. Recommended Protocols for Fullerton College Participatory Governance Committees
         1. “Context”: Remove all language from this area.
      6. Protocols Regarding Meetings
         1. Including footnotes in this section would be helpful as a reference point for reviewing accuracy and tracking changes over time.
         2. **ACTION**: Daniel will draft this over the summer, present it at the Campus Collaborations meeting for feedback, and then bring it to PAC, Senate, and ASC for endorsement. The final version will be referenced in the footnotes.

**NEW BUSINESS** (Discussion with Possible Action)

1. [ACCJC memo on recent Executive Order on Accreditation](https://accjc.org/announcement/eo-accreditation-and-implications-for-member-institutions/)
   1. The committee discussed what the Executive Order means for this committee and for the college.
   2. The ASC may want to consider how we can proactively respond to potential claims suggesting that our college is exempt from certain responsibilities due to the Executive Order. For example: “mandate that accreditors require member institutions to use data on program-level student outcomes to improve such outcomes, without reference to race, ethnicity, or sex.”
   3. There is a possibility that ACCJC may revise its standards to remove references to disaggregated data. Despite this, the California State Chancellor's Office still mandates the use of disaggregated data, so our college will continue this practice. However, some may question whether this aligns with the Executive Order. It may be beneficial for the ASC to consider whether any action is needed and to prepare a statement addressing this issue in advance.
   4. The committee decided to take no action. Even if the standards change, the college will continue its current efforts.
2. [Year-end report](https://fullcolledu-my.sharepoint.com/:b:/g/personal/dfouquette_fullcoll_edu/Ea92ZvA40AFGj1rb7gRa8u4BXvh5Duvz3vCp9svXOO8krA?e=7FjUMT) for Faculty Senate and PAC
   1. The report was sent to Faculty Senate.
   2. The committee reviewed the report and agreed to move forward.

**OTHER TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION**

1. Other Topics
2. Adjournment
   1. Meeting Adjourned at 4:00pm