

Instructional Annual Program Review Update Form

BACKGROUND:

Program Review (PR) is an integral part of the campus planning process. As programs and areas monitor their progress on the current comprehensive four-year program review, changes in need and scope can be expected. This PR Update Form is designed to outline and request modifications to the current program review that occur between comprehensive four-year review cycles, as needed.

Examples of a requested change include new information such as action plans, outcomes modifications, personnel changes, technology needs, and capital expenditures requirements. As programs and areas monitor their progress on the previous comprehensive four-year program review, the form provides the basis to suggest a change in plans and processes to improve student success and institutional effectiveness.

DIRECTIONS:

This form shall be completed annually by ALL instructional programs.

- All instructional programs must submit their Annual Program Review Update Form to their dean or manager by Monday, March 6
- All deans or managers will forward the completed form to the Program Review Committee Chair by Monday, March 13.

SUBMISSION:

Program:	Administration of Justice	Dept. Division	: Technology & Engine	eering Date: <u>2/24/23</u>
		•	and have not identified ming academic year. <i>(Co</i>	any significant changes omplete only pages 1 and
			and have identified sign h are attached in our subr	
Program S	Signature(s): Kelly Ro	vertson Printed Nam	ne: Kelly Robertson	
PPRC E1	dorsement: Yes	No 🗖		
				Revised 01/27/2023

Part 1: Review of Data

Institution Set Standards (ISS)

1. Use the data provided by the Office of Institutional Effectiveness (OIE) to review your course completion and success rates and provide a comparison to the Institution Set Standards for course completion and success rates.

> You can access your program's ISS here: ISS Documents; Alternately, if you have access to Tableau, you can access the data here: Tableau ISS Data.

Course Success Institution-Set Standard

Below Standard: Less than 61.2%

Warning: Between 61.2% and 64.6%

Above 64.6%

Completion (Retention) Institution-Set Standard

Below Standard: Less than 74.5%

Between 74.5% and 78.6% Warning:

Above 78.6%

Course Success and Completion by Program

Subject	Enrollments	Avg. Success	Success Standard	Avg. Completion	Completion Standard
AJ	2,213	71.3%	+	86.4%	+

2. If your program meets or exceeds the standard for completion and success, to what do you attribute your success? If your program does not meet this standard, please examine the possible reasons, and note any actions that should be taken, if appropriate.

> Course Success examines the percentage of students who receive a passing/satisfactory grade. Examples include A-C (Pass). The Administration of Justice Department's Course Success exceeds the Institutional-Set Standards with measurable data and a 71.3% rate. This is due to faculty providing students with study guides for quizzes, exams, and course discussions, along organizing study groups and mentoring sessions. These practices have increased the overall student success rates from previous data analysis, and past self-study reports.

> Course Completion/Retention examines the percentage of students who do not withdraw from class and who receive a valid grade. Examples include A-F, Incomplete, pass, no pass. The Administration of Justice Department's exceeds the Institutional-Set Standards with measurable data and an 86.4% rate. This is due to increasing student mentoring, providing academic and career pathways, along with encouraging students to utilize campus-wide support services (i.e., tutoring, health center, food bank, on campus jobs, etc.). These methods have improved the total student completion/retention levels from preceding OIE analysis and past self-study reports.

Institutional Student Learning Outcomes (ISLOs)-Do Not Complete Spring 2023

All programs will compare their CSLO attainment to the Global Awareness ISLO.

- 1. Describe your program's participation in assessment of Institutional Student Learning Outcomes (ISLO's). Specifically, how does your CSLO attainment, for the courses that are mapped to the Global Awareness ISLO, compare to Fullerton College's ISLO attainment?
- 2. Does the SLO data show significant achievement gaps among demographic groups in your program? If so, where are the gaps and what steps can your program take to shrink them? If not, to what do you attribute your success in minimizing the achievement gap?

Part 2: Additional Resource Request Reasoning and Support

Request Justification (Note: Expand all areas as needed to support your resource request)

Briefly summarize your new / modified resource request. Is it imperative that this resource request be processed now rather than during the next comprehensive program review?

- 1. If the Resource Request is personnel-related, include support and associated details/data in support of this request.
- 2. How will this additional resource allocation specifically enhance your program's services, activities, processes, etc. to continue or improve student learning and achievement?
- 3. How will this resource enhance your area or program? Have you considered the College Mission or Strategic Initiatives, physical/organizational restructuring, and or your program's goals for improvement, as stated in your last program review?

Provide any additional information that supports your request in the space below. Expand as necessary.

Part 3: Resource Request Funding

Directions:

Submission:

- Complete and submit this section ONLY if you have a NEW resource request
- Each NEW resource request must include the associated justification (Page 3).
- Complete as many resource requests, as necessary. Support each resource request with appropriate and relevant detail (Page 3).

Requested by:	Email:	Phone:		
Division:	_Department:	Total Requested \$:		
	ate to a previously submitted progra escribe equipment location and inclu			
Type of Resource	Requested Dollar Amount	Potential Funding Source		
Personnel				
Facilities				
Equipment				
Supplies				
Computer Hardware				
Computer Software				
Training				
Other				
Total Requested Amount:	· ·			
Approval: Dean: Signature/Approval:	John John John John John John John John	Date: 3-11-1023		
	Priority Ranking: of	<u>10</u>		