IIC Notes for the September 26th, 2023 Meeting

Members Present: Alexander Brown, Aline Gregorio, Danielle Fouquette, David Grossman, Jeanette Rodriguez, Jennifer Merchant, Juan JP Gonzalez, Michael Gieck, Nick Arman, Roman P de Jesus, Kesha Shadwick

Guest(s): Josh Ashenmiller

Public comments/Announcements: N/a

Minutes: 9/12/2023 minutes approved.

Agenda

1. Acting Co-chair position open

2. Review of Integrated Planning Draft

The co-chair provided a draft to the IIC members on Friday, September 22, 2023.

- a. Asking members for feedback on the draft.
- **b.** The co-chair shared the draft of the flow chart which included a timeline and details of the strategic plan.



- c. Member asked where the recommendation comes from. Has anyone endorsed the draft? The Co-Chair explained that it has not been endorsed yet and will go to the Program Review Committee on Thursday, September 28th.
- d. There will be changes to Program Review and PBSC to make them substantial and more inclusive; both committees will be dual reporting.
- 3. The co-chair opened the floor to IIC members regarding the draft. Some key discussion items included:

- a. Member shared optimism regarding the changes in the structure of the draft however, is concerned about the divorce of the resource requests from the program review process. Suggested that resource requests should be connected.
- b. Negotiation with huge departments is a concern and opens up a lot of room for bias, decision-making, and inequitable decisions.
- c. Clarity of the criteria is the best way to go about resource allocation.
- d. Member asked if the approval or denial will come from PBSC.
 - i. The co-chair responded: that both committees will have to create criteria, the new templates.
 - ii. Member shared that there was a concern during the work group and conveyed the group proposed that the request for resources be linked to the program reviews.
 - iii. Member conveyed the committee embraced the removal: Program review could review the trends and themes.
- e. Adding the old planning chart would make the second chart visibly impactful.
- f. Conveyed the ranking system was frustrating and excited that now faculty can be involved and make decisions.
- g. Member conveyed the process might not favor certain departments, particularly bigger divisions.

4. Enrollment Management

- a. Follow up on enrollment management discussion around making recommendations and the clarity of the responsibilities around enrollment management
- b. Member conveyed that it would be helpful if the college had a dashboard that the entire campus could access.
 - i. suggests a dashboard for the campus community that includes data: headcount, modality, times
- c. From a dean's perspective it would show transparency to have a discussion regarding data and how data should be used in making determinations on when to offer courses on what days and times, these discussions should be conveyed to faculty

Future: The Co-chair will draft an acting chair on the next agenda

Adjourn: 2:26 pm