

Tuesday, February 9, 2021

1:00 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.

Via ZOOM



Institutional Integrity Committee Minutes

Co-Chairs: Michael Mangan (F), Joseph Ramirez (M) **Members:** Jessica Garcia (F), Juan Pablo Gonzalez (F), Michael Gieck (C), Michelle Gomez-Velazquez (F), David Grossman (M), Shauna Fisher (C), Lisa McPheron (M), Kim Orlijan (F)

Student Representative:

Guest: Danielle Fouquette (F), Josh Ashenmiller (F)

Recorder: Joe Ramirez (M)

Members Absent: Amber Borja (S), Elaine Lipiz Gonzalez (M), Ian McHugh (F)

C = Classified; F = Faculty, M = Manager, S = Student

HOUSEKEEPING

Meeting time of order: 1:05pm

1. Welcome

2. Introductions

3. Agenda

- a. No changes.

4. Meeting Notes

- a. Approval of February 9th minutes. (McPheron/ Mangan / U)
 - i. No changes.

5. Public Comment

- a. None.

6. Announcements

- a. Mike Mangan – Completed the Institution-Set Standards presentation to the Faculty Senate and did not receive any questions or comments beyond clarifying information.
- b. David Grossman – Presented the Institution-Set Standards to the Program Review Committee.
- c. All of the committees and governing bodies have received a presentation from the IIC except for the Associated Students.
- d. The Institution-Set Standards are now part of the College Catalog and can be found at: <https://catalog.nocccd.edu/fullerton-college/>

IIC: The Institutional Integrity Committee regularly evaluates and makes recommendations on the College's policies, processes, practices, procedures, and publications in order to ensure the integrity and effectiveness of the College's planning and decision-making process and its alignment with the College's mission.

OLD BUSINESS

1. Program Review Template Update

- a. Josh Ashenmiller, chair of Program Review Committee (PRC), presented a draft of the revised Program Review template which instructional programs will use when they complete their self-study in Fall 2021. Josh also provided additional information on the PRC and the context for why the self-study template is undergoing revisions.
- b. The committee reviewed each section of the document and provided feedback for how the template could be adjusted.

2. Annual Program Review Update Pilot and Spring Plan

- a. After review of the Program Review self-study template, Josh discussed the Annual Program Review Update documents and how the IIC could move forward in reviewing the data sections of the APRUs. The goal will be for the IIC to report to the campus community on common themes identified in the APRUs.
- b. It was the sense of the committee that the best way to approach the review of the annual updates would be to dedicate time within the next meeting to begin reviewing the documents rather than conducting the reviews independently.

NEW BUSINESS

1. Accreditation: College Recommendation 4 (Improvement)

- a. Lisa McPheron and Kim Orlijan presented a draft protocol for committees to respond to an accreditation recommendation regarding the operation and assessment of committees' work.
- b. The IIC discussed how the draft document could be shared with other campus constituents before engaging in additional discussion on the topic. It was agreed that Kim and Lisa would bring the item back to a future IIC meeting.

The remaining items #2, #3, and #4 were postponed to a future meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 3 p.m.

NEXT MEETING: Tuesday, February 22, 2021 (Via Zoom)

Agenda and Minutes: Greg Schulz, Jean Foster, Nitzya Hamblet, Rodrigo Garcia, Melisa McLellan, José Ramón Núñez, Gilbert Contreras, Emma Hangué, Joe Carithers, Kim Orlijan